Factivity and indirect question: the case of Russian BESPOKOIT'SJA 'worry'

Zeno Vendler (Vendler 1980) associated factivity of the predicate (i.e. truth presupposition of the embedded clause) with its co-occurrence with indirect question (IQ). Subsequent investigations suggested that what is relevant is not exactly factivity but the component 'know' (also 'don't know', 'want to know', etc) in the semantic decomposition of the predicate. Hence the importance of the difference between predicates of **knowledge** (*know, understand, forget, ...*), which co-occur with IQ, and predicates of **belief** (*believe, suppose, ...*), which usually don't (Karttunen, Zaenen 2005).

An interesting case is represented by the Russian verb *bespokoit'sja* 'worry', which dominates IQ, contradicting all common knowledge about the contexts of accepted IQ: i) *bespokoit'sja* is a verb of emotion, and emotions do not dominate IQ; ii) dividing mental verbs into verbs of knowledge and verbs of belief we'd rather attribute *bespokoit'sja* to verbs of belief, which are assumed not to dominate IQ.

Explanation of this phenomenon is put forward, stemming from this verb's semantic decomposition (suggested by Ju.D.Apresjan, NOSS-2004): it contains a component 'don't know', famous for its predisposition to IQ (Bulygina, Shmelev 1997, Paducheva 2004). In this paper the semantics of *bespokoit'sja* is further explored, with the help of the Russian National Corpus, http://www.ruscorpora.ru/.

The verb bespokoit's ja in the context of a dependent IQ

Construction with a general question: X bespokoitsja, V li = 1) 'X doesn't know whether **situation V takes place**; 2) X wants V to take place; 3) X feels trouble'.

(a) Igor bespokoilsja, spravitsja li Andrej s rol'ju 'Igor worried whether Andrey would cope with the role'

Construction with WH-question: X bespokoitsja WH-V = 1) X is unaware of some **parameter of situation V** and doesn't exclude that V is negative; 2) 'X wants V to be positive; 3) X feels trouble'.

(c) Vladimir *bespokoilsja – kto*, v sluchae ego smerti, budet prodolzhat' ego delo? 'Vladimir *worried who* would, in case of his death, continue his task'.

The verb bespokoit's ia in the context of that-clause

- Explication for the <u>present or past tense</u> in the dependent clause. X *bespokoitsja* that V = '1' situation V takes or took place [presupposition]; 2) X believes that V is bad or has negative consequences; 3) X feels trouble'.
- (d) Leonja ochen' *bespokoilsja*, *chto* v dome net deneg 'Leonja worried because there was no money at home'.

Not the same in the context of the <u>future tense</u> – instead of the **presupposition** of existence of a "bad" V, X has an **opinion** that V might take place:

(e) Marija pervoe vremja *bespokoilas'*, *chto* muzh razorit sem'ju na skachkax 'At first Marija *worried that* her husband would ruin the family at the races'.

So, we conclude that *bespokoit'sja* can be used as a verb of belief in propositional contexts, the dependent IQ being possible due to the implicit component 'don't know' in its semantics. Thus, the semantics of the Russian *bespokoit'sja* is an important contribution to the problem of factivity in its relation to indirect question.

References

Bulygina, Shmelev 1997 – *Bulygina T.V., Shmelev A.D.* Jazykovaja konceptualizacija mira (na materiale russkoj grammatiki). Moskva: JaRK, 1997.

Karttunen, Zaenen 2005 – *Karttunen L.*, *Zaenen A.* Veridicity. // Annotating, Extracting and Reasoning about Time and Events. Dagstuhl Seminar Proceedings 05151, G. Katz, J. Pustejovsky, F. Schilder (eds.), Dagstuhl, Germany, 2005.

NOSS-2004 – Novyj ob''jasnitel'nyj slovar' sinonimov russkogo jazyka. 2-e izdanie. Pod obshchim rukovodstvom akad. Ju.D.Apresjana. Moskva-Vena 2004.

Paducheva 2004 – Paducheva E.V. Dinamicheskie modeli v semantike leksiki. Moskva: JaRK, 2004.

Vendler 1980 – *Vendler Z.* Telling the facts // F. Kiefer, J. Searle (eds). Speech Act Theory and Pragmatics. Dordrecht, 1980.